

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending in the application. Claims 1-4, 7 and 9-12 are allowed. Claims 5, 6 and 8 stand rejected. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 first paragraph. The Examiner takes the position that the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for a pair of opposed jaws moveable toward and away from each other. Applicant believes that claim 5 is self-enabling and is enabled by the specification since from the frame of reference of the jaws, the jaws do move toward each other even if only one jaw moves relative to the frame. Nevertheless, applicant has amended claim 5 to specify that one jaw is moveable toward and away from the other jaw.


Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 as being indefinite. Claim 6 has been amended to change the phrase "a bottom surface of the that is concave upward" to "a bottom surface that is concave upward."

Conclusion

No new matter is introduced by the amendments herein. Based on the foregoing, applicants believe that all claims under consideration are in a condition for allowance and reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 15 Nov 2004


John D. Titus, Reg. No. 39,047
THE CAVANAGH LAW FIRM
1850 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 2400
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
Telephone: (602) 322-4000

CAVPHXDB:1129801.1